ADULT CORRESPONDENCE / PUBLIC-SAFE EXCERPTS

October 2026: correspondence and reunification scheduling

Dated primary-message extracts and explicit limits. This is an editorial derivative, not a court finding, complete email archive, or direct disclosure of private child records.

Prior direct exhibits / 2025–2026 · Redacted source set

Twenty-four public-safe exhibit PDFs, representing thirty-one original messages; include favorable and contrary materials and their surrounding request.

Context / evidentiary limit: Only the redacted derivative is distributed. Source citations and original MIME remain with the author.

October 23, 2026, approx. 3:11 p.m. · Therapist → father, with mother and counsel copied

“Ok. I will cancel going forward. Feel free to reach out if you would like to restart in the future.”

Context / evidentiary limit: This records provider intent to cease reserving future sessions until restart, not a judicial declaration that a parent violated an order.

October 26, 2026, approx. 9:41 a.m. · Mother → father

“Stop.” A response described the messages as emails “that no one reads”; it also supplied a later appointment date.

Context / evidentiary limit: The response involved a school/appointment dispute, not a counseling make-up session. Private visit details are redacted.

October 26, 2026, approx. 1:27 p.m. · Mother → father

“Why didn’t YOU notify them?” Ms. Morse disputed that school notification was solely her responsibility.

Context / evidentiary limit: Her position is included; the email alone does not establish which adult had access or knowledge at the relevant time.

October 28, 2026, approx. 5:04 p.m. · Father → counsel and mother; therapist CC

Request to identify the restart coordinator, make-up plan, transportation and the relevant order provision.

Context / evidentiary limit: This proves a request was sent; the requested October 30 deadline was not an independent court-imposed deadline.

October 30, 2026, approx. 9:09 p.m. · Father → mother and counsel

Request to confirm practical home visits and weekly therapy restart by November 2 at 5 p.m.

Context / evidentiary limit: This source is an outbound party request. The document does not establish whether the proposed home visits satisfy the father's conditions of contact.

October 31, 2026, 12:09–12:15 a.m. · Public notice → recipients, including mother; mother → father

After receiving the civic notice, Ms. Morse replied: "Do NOT email me at midnight again," and "Leave me alone."

Context / evidentiary limit: This was a reaction to a public/political notice, not a direct scheduling-request response. Original message and recipient addresses are not republished.

Counter-record and limits

The February 11, 2026 findings attributed the diminished father-child relationship principally to the father's conduct in late 2024 and the agreed temporary-contact restrictions. The father disputes certain findings. Ms. Morse denied exposing the child to litigation materials in July 2026; she also cooperated with a provider rescheduling request in June 2025. These materials are not concealed because they complicate the author's claim.

Earlier public-safe communication exhibits and correction policy: jtforme.com/amanda-morse-order-implementation-record and jtforme.com/right-of-reply-corrections. Do not contact or pressure any private parent, child, provider, school, or employer.